UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,654	06/13/2006	Detlef Ullmann	677/44541	3421
	7590 08/28/200 HORNBURG LLP	9	EXAMINER	
750-17TH STF			COOLEY, CHARLES E	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/563,654	ULLMANN ET AL.	. .			
		Examiner	Art Unit	1			
		Charles E. Cooley	1797				
The MAILING DATE	of this communication app	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ldress			
Period for Reply			i i				
 Extensions of time may be availabed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or ex 	R, FROM THE MAILING D. Ile under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ailing date of this communication. Above, the maximum statutory period of tended period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of this of this of the company	·			
Status							
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u>	anuani 2006					
2a) This action is FINAL	, ,	anuary 2000. action is non-final.					
'	/—		ters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
olooog ili dooordarjo	e with the practice ander 2	ex parte Quayle, 1000 O.E.	7, 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are	pending in the application						
4a) Of the above cla	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/a	re objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is o	objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is		priority under 35 LLS C. 8	\$ 110(a)-(d) or (f)				
· · ·	c) None of:	priority drider 33 0.0.0.	; 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
	•	s have been received					
	certified copies of the prio			Stage			
<u> </u>		•	Todolivou III uno riadional	Olago			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		or the common copies her	, coon ca.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20060109</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/563,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This application has been assigned to Technology Center 1700, Art Unit
 1797 and the following will apply for this application:

Please direct all written correspondence with the correct application serial number for this application to **Art Unit 1797**.

Telephone inquiries regarding this application should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 or to the Examiner at (571) 272-1139. All official facsimiles should be transmitted to the centralized fax receiving number 571-273-8300.

Priority

- 2. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-
- (d). All of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Note the attached PTO-1449 form submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement filed 9 JAN 2006.

Specification

4. The substitute specification filed 9 JAN 2006 is approved but note the following objection.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,654 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

5. The substitute specification is objected to because of the following informalities:

a. ¶ [0017], line 2: replace "ian" with –an--.

Appropriate correction is required.

6. The substitute Abstract of the Disclosure is objected to because:

a. it lacks substance as it is not an adequate and clear statement of the contents of the disclosure. A reading of the abstract does not provide the character of the subject matter covered by the disclosure. The abstract should be more comprehensive of the disclosed subject matter.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

7. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed (MPEP 606.01).

Claim Objections

Claim 1: replace "separtor" with –separator--.
 Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The terms used in this respect are given their broadest reasonable interpretation in their ordinary usage in context as they would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, in light of the written description in the specification, including the drawings, without reading into the claim any disclosed limitation or particular embodiment. See, e.g., *In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.*, 367 F.3d 1359, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004); *In re*

Application/Control Number: 10/563,654 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Hyatt, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2000); In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The Examiner interprets claims as broadly as reasonable in view of the specification, but does not read limitations from the specification into a claim. *Elekta Instr. S.A.v.O.U.R. Sci. Int'l, Inc.*, 214 F.3d 1302, 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2000). "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. Inc. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kjellgren (US 3,741,467).

The patent to Kjellgren discloses the recited centrifuge (Fig. 1) with discs 15 having steel discs 15 that are coated on top and bottom sections thereof (at 32) with substances/coatings to change the surface energy of the discs (see col. 1, lines 19-50 and col. 2, lines 9-30 and claims 1-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. To determine whether subject matter would have been obvious, "the scope and content of the prior art are to be determined; differences between the prior art and the

claims at issue are to be ascertained; and the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art resolved Such secondary considerations as commercial success, long felt but unsolved needs, failure of others, etc., might be utilized to give light to the circumstances surrounding the origin of the subject matter sought to be patented."

Page 5

Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City, 383 U.S. 1, 17-18 (1966).

The Supreme Court has noted:

Often, it will be necessary for a court to look to interrelated teachings of multiple patents; the effects of demands known to the design community or present in the marketplace; and the background knowledge possessed by a person having ordinary skill in the art, all in order to determine whether there was an apparent reason to combine the known elements in the fashion claimed by the patent at issue.

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1740-41 (2007). "Under the correct analysis, any need or problem known in the field of endeavor at the time of invention and addressed by the patent can provide a reason for combining the elements in the manner claimed." (Id. at 1742).

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 1797

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

15. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kjellgren (US 3,741,467) in view of DE 3003206 A1.

Kjellgren discloses the discs being formed of steel but not the ceramic coating. DE '206 discloses a centrifuge wherein separating elements 12 in the centrifuge are coated with a ceramic material. It would have been obvious and mere common sense to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have provided the separating elements/discs of Kjellgren with a ceramic coating as taught by DE '206 for the purposes of imparting wear resistant properties to the discs (per the abstract).

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited prior art discloses

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Cooley in Art Unit 1797 whose telephone number is (571) 272-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.. The

Application/Control Number: 10/563,654

Art Unit: 1797

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles E. Cooley/

Page 7

Charles E. Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

27 August 2009